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There would not be a catholic alive today who has not, at one stage or another expressed the opinion that there is something seriously wrong somewhere nowadays. Some will elaborate and declare that there is something wrong with the Church itself; others are of the opinion that the Church cannot go wrong, that everything new is proposed by the Church and that there is something amiss with catholics if they don't accept it. There seem to be plenty around who - in all sincerity - can no longer tell you what is meant by 'the Church' nowadays. Is 'the Church' only the Catholic Church, or is that now extended to mean some kind of 'christian church' to which we all belong who believe in Jesus Christ? Some are convinced that the last interpretation is the teaching of Vatican II, others are not so sure. Many catholics these days seem to be inclined to accept that the former truth: 'the Catholic Church is the One True Church' has received a severe knocking - to say the least - since the Second Vatican Council, and they will even go so far as to maintain, that it is the Catholic Church's own doing, if this idea has become prevalent. They don't WANT to be steered into this belief, but somehow the impression has been created that thinking, developed along those lines, became inescapable. Isn't the Catholic Church Herself deeply implicated in ECUMENISM, and doesn't that ecumenism imply that we all have some part of the truth, and so some part of the One True Church?....

Things become vastly more aggravated if the idea of LOVE is brought into the picture, because, if this whole ecumenism is built on love, then what hope have we got? There is no escape from love; there appears to be no defence against it. You cannot 'reason' against love. And if you simply refuse to go along with 'love', then you are definitely made to appear the odd-man out: you are then no longer with Christ and His Church.
And so we could go on indefinitely, showing the extent of the confusion. Showing that much is being passed around nowadays as 'teachings of the Catholic Church' which seem to contradict catholic teaching of days gone by. We are constantly reminded of Christ's own words, that there would come a time in which - if it were possible - even the elect would be deceived. We take this to mean, that His elect would not - in final analysis be deceived. But Christ never promised that His elect would not be confused. And so we may take His promise to mean that the elect would not remain in their confusion. Which means - of course - that it must be possible to get out of the confusion, to relinquish a confused state of mind, even if vast numbers of catholics around the elect are not only confused, but deceived. Deception always involves the furtive, secret palming off of the FALSE article for the REAL thing. A person who is not deceived by the swindle, may well be momentarily perplexed. But if it is at all possible to detect the fraud and not to fall for the deception, then there must be PROPER WAYS AND MEANS to go about dealing with these matters. It then becomes of the utmost importance to USE THE GOD-GIVEN METHODS; to THINK this whole business through using the proper means of identification and sound thought-processes, which will enable the elect to separate the real from the fake. And where do we find that? Where can we learn how to do that? I sincerely hope that most Catholics have not sunk so low in their appreciation and LOVE for Our Holy Mother the Catholic Church, that it will come as a surprise to them that the Catholic Church (and ONLY the Catholic Church) has within Herself the means - necessary and sufficient - to guide us out of our confusion. It is only through Her that crystal clarity can be restored and maintained.

Catholics, therefore, need only be really concerned about attempts at deceptions, which involve the Catholic Church itself, or the Catholic Faith, or true Catholic teaching. We may NEVER mistake another church for the Catholic Church. We may NEVER mistake another faith for the Catholic Faith. And with these two safely guarded, we will NEVER mistake false teaching for true Catholic doctrine. So, a Catholic may never stay confused for too long about the first two: otherwise he WILL start to accept and believe the wrong doctrine, the wrong teaching.

Now, only two things need be crystal clear right from the start in any talk about deception and confusion. ONE is, that in God's Light there
is NO confusion. And so, if for 1900 years the Catholic Church has taught us that God's Divine, Supernatural Light is communicated to us in the Supernatural gift of Infused, Divine Catholic Faith, then in this Light, in this Faith, there cannot possibly exist confusion or deception. If Catholics are confused, or - God forbid - even deceived, it can only be because they allowed the Natural light, their finite, feeble human intelligence to replace Catholic Faith and the Light it communicates. If this priceless Gift of the Almighty is neglected or even lost, then no human intelligence, however brilliant, will take over its role. The elect remain the elect because they TREASURED that fantastic Gift. Unerringly they will fasten onto the Catholic Church, like the compass needle on the North Pole, no matter how often the Catholic Church is presented to them, or portrayed to them, in all sorts of disguises and deceptions. To clear any darkness of mind or confusion, the supernatural Light of Catholic Faith must be used.

A SECOND thing that I assume to be true at the start of any discussion on confusion, is the teaching of Pope JOHN XXIII in his first encyclical: "CONTRARY 'TRUTHS' CANNOT EXIST". Not in Catholic teaching, not in theology, not in philosophy, not in universities: nowhere, never. A 'truth' and its contrary cannot be both true in the same sense. And so, if the Catholic Church has taught previously that something is definitely true, the TRUE Catholic Church will still teach its truth at present time, and its contrary cannot be now twisted and bent as to somehow appear also true. Meaning that this contrary cannot be now twisted and bent as appearing to have become the teaching of the Catholic Church. It is here where, according to St. Anselmus' famous dictum, the humble human intellect can give valuable assistance and support to Supernatural Catholic Faith. If Catholic Faith accepts Church teaching as true, and the human intellect discovers a contradiction in modern teaching, then Catholic Faith will REJECT IT as 'Church teaching'. And so we could only accept nowadays as 'true' what the Church previously taught NOT to be true, if (a) we didn't know what the Catholic Church previously taught, which shows a marked disinterest, or (b) if we impose our will OVER our natural intellect, blotting out the Light of Faith. But in all this a person must be very careful that he is in the reception of the FULL teaching of the Catholic Church.

If only the Catholic Church can teach us how NOT to be confused about the Catholic Church, we will constantly turn to Her to teach us.
And so, with millions of Catholics around the world I have come to the conclusions that there is nothing wrong with the Catholic Church, but that there is something seriously wrong with an awful lot of catholics. For the Catholic Church is and remains the Spotless Bride of the Lamb of God. She is firmly anchored in Eternity. Her Head is Divine, so is Her Life. 'By the will of Christ She is the teacher of truth' (Vat. II, Rel.Freed., 14). 'The pillar and ground of truth' as Pope JOHN XXIII addressed Her in 'Mater et Magistra', quoting St. PAUL in his letter to Timothy. She is Our Holy Mother the Church, advanced in years without growing old, without blemish or wrinkle, as Pope JOHN wrote not so long ago: 'The Catholic Church continues to enjoy her perennial youth' (Ad Petri Cathedram). We have God's guarantee that She will never be overpowered by Evil nor will She ever propose heresy to us to believe.

Yet heresy is abounding in the ranks of the catholics. ... So what went wrong? ...

Books and articles have been written to probe the problem. Some added to the confusion. Others provided us with much needed light and understanding. The better ones reassured us by outlining to us an appropriate historical setting for the upheavals of our time. Only the best ones consoled us and gave us strength with their supernatural outlook. Concerned catholics know of them and point them out to others; unconcerned catholics will never know. Out of this welter of relevant and irrelevant material a pattern is now emerging, a trend, a direction. And it is with these that this lecture is primarily concerned.

Almost 70 years ago a Saint had a clear idea of what lay ahead. He wrote about it and told us about it. We did not understand him. This Saint also happened to be a Pope and so he told us about it urgently, with God's authority, infallibly. We should have believed him with our supernatural Catholic Faith. That we didn't is now OUR - not the Church's - undoing. For
the Church believed him and took Her cue from him: the vision remained preserved, gaining in clarity as time went on....

This lecture is an attempt to put the slate right, to make amends, to make up for the time lost, wasted on things that did not matter. It is a serious attempt - at this very late stage - to give this outline now so clearly emerging, even from contemporary history, the attention it deserves. Not only to honour the memory of this Saintly Pope who saw us so clearly in his prophetic visions, but also for our own salvation. Tracing this shape of things to come, through the wisdom of the intervening Popes, back to the writings of POPE ST. PIUS X, we may learn how we should have acted in obedience to the Holy See. To our shame we will learn that no contemporary Christian could ever hope to have a better idea of the present day crisis than this Saint had more than 70 years ago....

In "OUR APOSTOLIC MANDATE", a Letter to the French Bishops and written in 1910 about the dangerous aberrations of a movement of well-meaning social catholics, Pope St. PIUS X had this to say:

"And now, overwhelmed with the deepest sadness, we ask Ourselves, Venerable Brethren, 'What has become of the catholicism of the SILLON?'. Alas! This organisation which formerly afforded such promising expectations, has been harnessed in its course by the modern enemies of the Church, and is now no more than a miserable effluent of the great movement of apostasy being organised in every country for the establishment of a ONE-WORLD CHURCH, which shall have neither dogmas, nor hierarchy, neither discipline for the mind, nor curb for the passions, and which, under the pretext of freedom and human dignity, would bring back to the world the reign of legalised cunning and brute force, and the oppression of the weak and of all those who toil and suffer.

We know only too well the dark workshops in which are elaborated these mischievous doctrines which ought not to seduce clear-thinking minds. The leaders of the SILLON have not been able to guard against these doctrines. They have been carried away to ANOTHER GOSPEL, which they thought was the true Gospel of Our Saviour...."
A church? A one-world church? Which shall have no dogmas, no hierarchies, no discipline for the mind nor curb for the passions, conceived, born and shaped in the dark workshops of modernism (the MODERN enemies of the Church), preaching another gospel which is nevertheless passed on, deceitfully, as the true Gospel of Jesus Christ? I think it is high time we catch up with a Pope who died more than 60 years ago, but who, as a Saint, is very much alive today. A CHURCH, which will bring back to the world what we always thought to be the aims of communism. A church in cahoots with communism? A church of darkness. Please God, that this great Saint's clear-sightedness may help us to discover this church of darkness in our very midst...

We are aware that the modern handouts, which go under the name of 'catechetical aids' have ALL one thing in common: THERE IS NO DOGMA IN THEM. And we ask: 'Who inspires modern catechetics'? We are also sadly aware that all sorts of modern 'homilies' too have one thing in common: THEY ARE EMPTY OF DOGMA. Again we ask: 'Who inspires present-day seminary training?'

It is observations like these which eventually opened the eyes of many to the truth: this Saintly Pope PIUS X was writing about our time. This man, who pointed to some future one-world church without dogma, and who saw the visible signs of its preparation and characteristics CLEARLY operating in his own time, must have seen US, in our time. And IF his message is for us, then we better listen a bit more careful to him, now that we are in the company of the ONLY SAINT in modern history who has received the God-given task of preparing us directly for 'the great apostasy', and who, as a Pope, received from God also the AUTHORITY to do so. This Holy Father foresaw a future one-world church WHICH WOULD NOT BE THE CATHOLIC CHURCH, but instead a 'church of darkness' bringing to the world the reign of communism and enslavement so it seems. It has become imperative to contemplate that, in his mind, the heresy of MODERNISM would be both the preparation and the hallmark of this church of darkness. Every Pope is instrumental in expressing to us 'what is in the Mind of Christ' (Eph.3:4, Phil.2:5), and that with great authority. But to a Pope and Saint is it given to see what is in the mind of Christ AT SOME FUTURE DATE, and to tell us how 'the mystery of Evil' will unfold itself.
And he did tell us. In the longest encyclical in the whole history of the Church, which he wrote against the deadliest of all heresies: MODERNISM. Pope St. PIUS X dealt with Modernism extensively and with an urgency and vehemence unprecedented in modern times. 'WITH EXCEPTIONAL HARSHNESS' is the qualification HALES attaches to 'PASCENDI' in CHRISTOPHER HOLLIS' book: 'THE PAPACY'. And so there can be no doubt whatsoever that the root-causes of the upheavals in the Catholic Church today must be traced to the introduction of SYSTEMATIC MODERNISM within the Catholic fold in defiance of the warnings and directives of this Pope. The study of this whole question is of paramount importance, for this Saint has clearly pointed out to us the consequences: if Catholics would succumb to the heresy of modernism they would end up in this one-world church. And if millions of Catholics, including Nuns, Brothers, Priests and Bishops would succumb to Modernism, it would come to pass that they make it appear as if the Catholic Church itself had become part of this church of Satan.... Defection to Modernism, according to this Pope, had the gravest consequences. Hence his exceptional harshness. And hence his canonization.

Leaving the question of 'design' for the time being to a later stage, we can point to only ONE SOURCE strong enough, central enough, global enough AND DISOBEYENT ENOUGH to claim full responsibility for the widespread damage done, namely the introduction, acceptance and implementation of the theories and ideas of the late Fr. PIERRE TEILHARD DE CHARDIN S.J.

For the benefit of readers unfamiliar with either the person or the writings of TEILHARD, here is a brief summary:
A French Jesuit, Pére Pierre Teilhard de Chardin (1881 - 1955), for reasons entirely inspired by modernism, decided that the time had come 'to reformulate the whole of Catholic Dogma and to initiate a new religion as postulated by the requirements of evolution'. This he intended to do 'not by changing institutions and ethics, but by laying the axe at the root of Catholic Faith itself', as Pope St. Pius X had warned would happen in his famous condemnation of Modernism: his famous encyclical 'Pascendi Dominici Gregis' of 1907. Teilhard sought to transform Catholic Faith itself by advocating the wholesale reformation of Catholic Dogma, since according to him 'a hitherto unknown form of religion had gradually germinated in the heart of modern man, in the furrow opened by evolution'. (1953, 'Stuff of the Universe').

Although, as was to be expected, he managed to accumulate over the years and after his death no fewer than 14 known interdicts, prohibitions and outright condemnations from competent Church authorities, including one encyclical: 'Humani Generis', written by Pope Pius XII in 1950, his private works were nevertheless disseminated, avidly read and discussed by hundreds of Jesuits and theologians all over Europe in the twenties and thirties. It was heady stuff and the final thrust was of course not immediately evident. His fundamental thesis was that, in order that a breach could be made for the unscientific theory of evolution, the Dogma of Original Sin, as taught and understood by the Catholic Church, had to be abolished. In his system of evolution Original Sin could never have taken place; Adam and Eve, as First Parents, never existed, and at most can only be taken as being symbolic for all humanity, and in the place of all this polygenism, or polygenism had to be taught: an evolutionary theory that the human race developed from lower animals and originated all over the globe at once. The 'theological' systems which have adopted this fundamental postulate of Teilhard, have unhinged all other dogmas of the Catholic Church (as was already logically demanded by Teilhard) which can be verified by their prolific output.

Teilhard's groping for a totally new system necessitated a totally new fundamental positioning with a totally new first principle. As was to be expected, his unscientific system could never be based on a true philosophical principle, and the one he was finally forced to adopt to support his aberrations, was nothing more than CONTRADICTION, dressed up in a pseudo-scientific garb. This means that he was forced to adopt as his fundamental starting-point 'that contrary truths CAN BE BOTH TRUE in the same sense', which, as we saw, Pope John taught cannot be held. And Pope John was only echoing Pope Pius XII in his encyclical 'Humani Generis', echoing the totality of human experience. But for anyone who had an axe to grind against the old-Church-gone-wrong and who wished his ravings to be accepted (the word is used by Pope St. Pius X in 'Pascendi') this contradiction-dressed-up-as-science would do to replace the hated 'real distinction' principle of St. Thomas (Aquinas).
By the late thirties and early forties the Jesuit Professors of Philosophy in Nijmegen (Holland) and Louvain (Belgium) were sufficiently advanced in mastering these theories that they began to introduce 'this new philosophy' into their courses, replacing Thomism. This was done in violation and direct contradiction of Church Law and the Church's expressed wishes. The repercussions this has had on the teaching of philosophy in Catholic centres throughout the world are simply shattering. The price in loss of Faith paid for this betrayal and disobedience is incalculable. For, this whole new philosophy required a totally new, evolutionary 'theology' based on the same principles: that is on the same contradiction. AND IT IS THIS 'THEOLOGY' WHICH GAVE TO THE WORLD THE DUTCH CATECHISM, on which all other modern catechisms, teaching-aids, hand-outs are based and modelled to the doctrinal perversion of the young. In order to make all this acceptable, powerful agencies have convinced many bishops that the Dutch Catechism is the best expression of the meaning and spirit of Vatican II. But at the root of the Dutch Catechism lies the SAME CONTRADICTION which was found to lie at the root of the 'master vision': teilhardism, and found at the root of everything inspired by it.

In the above SUMMARY we read that it was the intention of the late Pierre Teilhard de Chardin S.J. to change the Catholic Faith itself, and that in doing so, he was fulfilling the prophetic warnings of Pope St. Pius X as expressed in the latter's encyclical against modernism: 'Pascendi' of 1907. Since this is the central point of the whole preparation and establishment of the church of Darkness, let us listen for a moment how each formulated these diabolical attempts in their writings.
In *Pascendi* Pope St. Pius X had this to say:

"Although they (these modernists, 'thoroughly imbued with the poisonous doctrines taught by the enemies of the Church') express astonishment that we should number them amongst the enemies of the Church; no one will be reasonably surprised that we should do so, if one considers their tenets, their manner of speech and their action. Nor indeed would he be wrong in regarding them as the most pernicious of all the adversaries of the Church. For as we have said, they put into operation their designs for her undoing, not from without, but from within. Hence the danger is present almost in the very veins and heart of the Church, whose injury is the more certain from the very fact that their knowledge of her is more intimate.

Moreover, they lay the axe not to the branches and shoots, but to the very root, that is: to the faith itself and its deepest fibres. And once having struck at this root of immortality, they proceed to diffuse poison through the whole tree, so that there is no part of Catholic truth which they leave untouched, none that they do not strive to corrupt. Further, none is more skilful, none more astute than they, in the employment of a thousand noxious devices, for they play the double part of rationalist and catholic, and this so craftily that they easily lead the unwary into error, and as audacity is their chief characteristic, there is no conclusion from which they shrink or which they do not thrust forward with pertinacity and assurance..."

And what did Teilhard have to say?

"Basically I consider - as you do - that the Church reaches a period of mutation or necessary reformation. To be more precise: I consider that the reformation in question (and much more profound a one than that of the 16th century) is no longer a simple matter of institutions and ethics, but of faith. Having stated my views I still cannot see any better means of bringing about what I anticipate than to work towards this reformation from within. In the course of the last 50 years (that includes the time of Pope Pius X) I have watched the revitalization of Catholic thought and life taking place around me - in spite of the encyclicals (which must then include *Pascendi*) - too closely not to have unbounded confidence in the ability of the old Roman stem to revivify itself. Let us then each work in our separate spheres: all upward movements converge!"

The above was written to an ex-Priest who invited Teilhard, now that the Pope had written his encyclical *Humani Generis* against Teilhard's errors, to join him outside the Church to fight for reform. So, in spite of the encyclical *Humani Generis*, all upward movements of subversion, whether inside or outside the visible confines of the Church, will converge, will have the same effect...
"It is certain", writes Fr. Henri RAMBAUD in his little treatise: 'The Strange Faith of Teilhard de Chardin', "that Teilhard had ended by no longer believing in Original Sin". Many have come to the same conclusion. That makes him a heretic. Many passages from his writings can be quoted to support this conclusion. Henri Rambaud cites a quote from a letter Teilhard wrote Two Days Before His Sudden Death to Fr. Andre Ravier, dated April 8th, 1955, Good Friday! And as early as 1922 Teilhard got in severe difficulties about his beliefs and his unbelief with Rome. Here is a run-through of the development of his (un)belief:

1922 "Since there is no place in the scientific history of the world for the turning-point of Original Sin, since everything happens in our experiential series as if there were neither Adam nor Eden, it follows that the Fall as an event is something unverifiable".

1929 "The Christian can try to persuade himself that he still believes in the primacy of the Fall, the expiation and the scorn of temporal things: already he is forcing himself AND ALSO HE IS FALSIFYING HIMSELF. It is a question of perspective WHICH WE WILL NO LONGER ADMIT, because they have become FOREIGN to the human soul. No one has ever been able to rekindle a love that has burned out".

1947 "Without exaggeration one can say that Original Sin, in the formulation still current today, is one of the principal obstacles to the intensive and extensive movements of progress in Christian thought. An EMBARRASSEMENT or SCANDAL for those of goodwill who are hesitating, and at the same time a refuge for narrow spirits".

"In such a Universe in which the Multiple is the primordial non-sinful creatable form of Nothingness: THE FUNCTIONAL EQUIVALENT OF THE FIRST ADAM, SOURCE OF STATISTICAL EVIL, the intellectual problem of evil disappears. Since in this perspective physical suffering and moral faults are introduced inevitably (his stress) into the world, not because of some defect of the creative act, but because of the very structure of participated being, that is, under the heading of a by-product, statistically inevitable (his stress) of the unification of the multiple. IN THIS EXPLANATION ORIGINAL SIN UNDOUBTEDLY CEASES TO BE AN ISOLATED ACT (my stress)".
1955 "In the Universe of Cosmogenesis, in which evil is no longer cata-
strophic, i.e. no longer the result of an accident, but EVOLUTIVE, i.e. the statistically unavoidable by-product of a universe in course of Unification in God, .....

So this is what Pope St. PIUS X meant when he wrote: 'They lay the axe to the very root, that is to the Faith itself AND ITS DEEPEST FIBRES'. And this is what TEILHARD had in mind when he wrote: 'I consider the reformation in question ONE OF FAITH'. Did the matter rest there? Of course not. We just heard Pope St. PIUS X declare: - 'And once having struck at this root of immortality, they proceed to diffuse poison through the whole tree, SO THAT THERE IS NO PART OF CATHOLIC TRUTH WHICH THEY LEAVE UNTouched, none that they do not strive to corrupt'. And TEILHARD:

1929 "A collective optimism, realistic and courageous must definitely re-
place the pessimism and individualism, whose overgrown notions of SIN and PERSONAL SALVATION have gradually burdened AND PERVERTED the Christian spirit. Let us then acknowledge the situation honestly: not only the 'Imitation of Christ', BUT ALSO THE GOSPEL ITSELF NEEDS TO UNDERGO THIS CORRECTION, and the whole world will make them undergo it". (We start to understand what Teilhard means by 'correction').

1936 "What increasingly dominates my interests is the effort to establish within myself, and to diffuse around me, A NEW RELIGION in which the personal God is no longer the neolithic landowner of times gone by, but the SOUL OF THE WORLD, as the cultural and religious stage we have reached now demands".

1953 "I have come to the conclusion that, in order to PAY for a drastic valorization and amorization of the substance of things A WHOLE SERIES OF RE-SHAPING OF CERTAIN REPRESENTATIONS OR ATTITUDES WHICH SEEM TO US DEFINITELY FIXED BY CATHOLIC DOGMA, HAS BECOME NECESSARY, if we sincerely want to Christify evolution. Seen thus, and because of an ineluctable necessity, one could say that A HITHERTO UNKNOWN FORM OF RELIGION is gradually germinating in the heart of 'modern man' in the furrow opened by the idea of EVOLUTION".
We can be satisfied that the description 'cesspool of errors' which Pope PIUS XII attached to Teilhard's works, is accurate. If the 'church of darkness' is to become a reality, it can only be built on heresy like this and contradiction.

Having advanced thus far, we can branch out in several directions, all equally important and instructive. A very important question would be:

'If this One-world church of darkness is to become a reality, can we trace the development of it in secular, contemporary literature and events? For it is obvious, such an agglomerate will embrace all, and not only the Catholic off-shoots'.

I have written an account of this secular development of what Pope St. PIUS X saw already clearly established in every country, in a separate article called 'TRAGEDY AND HOPE', of which copies are still readily available for interested readers. And so we will restrict ourselves in this article to the subversion of Catholic thought.

A very important part of this Catholic thought is CATHOLIC PHILOSOPHY and so another fruitful (and necessary) branch of investigation would be to study how Teilhardism has destroyed Thomism and Thomistic philosophy. Especially in connection with what the Popes have said about the ABSOLUTE NECESSITY of the retention of Thomism in their encyclicals and other documents. This too would lead us too far afield, and has been done elsewhere. We will very briefly touch on this important matter further on in this lecture to see what Teilhard has put in its place.

The destruction of Thomistic THEOLOGY and the subsequent perversion of ORTHODOX CATECHESIS would be yet another fruitful area for investigation. But again, this too would be of interest to specialists, who, in the interest of Catholic parents and children, would have to break it down in units which can be understood by all.
However interesting, necessary, rewarding and TIMELY these studies are, we are at present time endeavouring to separate a 'church of darkness' from the Catholic Church, which, according to both Pope St. PIUS X and Teilhard IS A QUESTION OF FAITH, which rises in importance just as far above contemporary literature, catholic philosophy and catholic theology as the supernatural and the Divine rise above the human and the natural, a matter of life and death. ETERNAL Life or eternal death.... Pope St. PIUS X has given us a clear description of the characteristics by which this church can be recognised. The world has watched teilhardism take over catholic philosophy, blot out catholic theology, infest catholic catechism as NOTHING ELSE EVER HAS. Nothing seems to stop the onward march of this evil spirit, and there can be no doubt whatsoever: the one-world church of darkness, foreseen and foretold by a saintly Pope, will be TEILHARDIAN. Teilhardian evolution has done for the catholic fold what evolution has done for secular society: it has brought atheism. And an atheistic West is no match to Communism. But even if the Catholic flock will be ravaged, the Catholic Church itself will never succumb, even if eclipsed temporarily by the deluge of evil to be released. The West has adopted the new 'faith' in evolution in order to hang on to its wealth and materialism, un molested by thoughts of God and conscience. But at a price: it lost its resistance to communism. And for the same reason will Western catholics swap their priceless Catholic Faith for teilhardism and teilhardian evolution, which allows them to 'embrace the world and the flesh and their wealth' without a thought for God or hell. For, if teilhardian evolution has us all in its mighty sweep, carrying us INEVITABLY to god-omega, then in such a system SIN disappears and that suits the Western materialist just fine.... But whoever believes that has given up his catholic faith, which of course is precisely what the enemy wants.... It is now of the greatest importance to MATCH THE CHARACTERISTICS GIVEN BY ST. POPE PIUS X WITH THE TEACHINGS OF TEILHARD. For after the destruction of catholic philosophy, theology and catechism there is nothing left over between Teilhardism and Catholic Faith. And if that Faith is weak, or dormant or even lost in many Catholics, it will be replaced by faith in the church of darkness which will be deceitfully portrayed and accepted as the 'new' catholic church of Vatican II.... It is of the greatest importance to distinguish between the teilhardian interpretation of Vatican II and the TRUE Interpretation of Vatican II. For it is not only possible but necessary to interpret Vatican II WHOLLY in the light OF ALL THE PREVIOUS TEACHINGS OF THE CATHOLIC CHURCH. Vatican II HAS an inspiration which comes from the Holy Spirit, carrying on Catholic Tradition, no matter how successful the Modernists have become in explaining the Decrees of this Council in the light of the teachings of TEILHARD DE CHAR DIN.
This brings to a close the FIRST SECTION of this lecture in which we established the fact that a one-world church of Darkness was foreseen and predicted, identified the source of such a 'church' and the breedingground on which it will flourish: disobedience, heresy and contradiction, and on evolution both inside and outside the catholic fold, and gave an outline of its essential characteristics.

In the NEXT SECTION we must run through these characteristics in more detail, showing how EACH OF THEM has its origin in the teachings of Teilhard. This last part: the linking of the characteristics with the 'teachings of Teilhard is not essential for the average catholic in order to make him run away from this looming and threatening evil. For the average catholic it is sufficient to recognize the 'church of darkness' whenever he sees it. But the link-up with Teilhard is very useful for any Catholic who is put on the defensive for being orthodox. For too long now the modernists have been charging our good catholics for refusing to accept their monstrous creation: the teilhardian church of darkness, for the Catholic Church. It is time the boot is on the other foot, and that the modernists are FORCED to acknowledge that THEY ARE TEILHARDIANS, in disobedience to the Catholic Church and in contradiction to Her teaching. No matter if they are Cardinals, Bishops, Priests, theologians, Nuns, Brothers or catholic men and women. Pope St. PIUS X COULD NOT HAVE FORESEEN THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A FAKE CHURCH OF DARKNESS, big enough to become a one-world agglomerate, WITHOUT THE ACTIVE PARTICIPATION OF ALL SORTS OF CATHOLICS OR THEIR LACK OF RESISTANCE AGAINST IT. This will become even more clear when, in SECTION THREE, we will have a look at THE PURPOSE OF THE CHURCH OF DARKNESS.
Pope St. PIUS X gave the following CHARACTERISTICS of the CHURCH OF DARKNESS by which it can be recognised, identified and isolated.

1. It will teach ANOTHER GOSPEL.
2. It will have NO DOGMA.
3. It will have NO HIERARCHY.
4. It will have NO DISCIPLINE FOR THE MIND.
5. It will have NO CURB FOR THE PASSIONS.
6. It will use THE PRETEXT OF FREEDOM AND HUMAN DIGNITY.
7. It will use BRUTE FORCE, COERCION.
8. It will use LEGALISED CUNNING.
9. To bring the world back to slavery: OPPRESSION OF THE WEAK, etc.

His vision is as profound as it is remarkable. For, although there could have been other far-sighted men in his days, who could have predicted that the teachings of evolution and modernism would eventually lead to a wholesale dissolution of morals and rejection of sound teaching, if accepted by a sufficiently large number of people, none of them would have dared to predict, as this Saint and Pope did, THAT THIS WOULD BE TAUGHT. That it would be the teaching of something that would pass itself off as a 'church'. And even more remarkable is his prediction that it would present itself to the world AS THE NEW GOSPEL OF OUR SAVIOUR. In other words: that it would be considered CATHOLIC TEACHING..... And who can seriously deny that this has not come to pass? That the most ghastly doctrine has not been pushed in modern theology and catechesis 'as the true gospel of Jesus Christ'?

Every catholic ought to know the FOUR DISTINGUISHING MARKS by which the CATHOLIC CHURCH can be recognised. The Catholic Church, the True Church of Jesus Christ, is ONE, HOLY, CATHOLIC and APOSTOLIC. What at present time is causing the Hidden Schism within the Catholic fold can certainly not lay claim to any of these four marks. The teilhardian church of modernism, at present time growing up next to the true wheat of God's Word, is DIVISIVE, is most UNHOLY, is certainly not CATHOLIC DOCTRINE, and the evolutionary explanation of Bible and Tradition does NOT come from the APOSTLES. No matter how hard the modernist theologians try to 'prove' that their explanations are found in the teachings of the Fathers of the Church.

Like in ages gone by, when great turmoils wracked the Mystical Body of Christ on earth, the 'UNHOLY ONE' will once again find itself cast out into the outer darkness, away from the Community of Saints, by the irresistible force of the Holy Spirit. BUT THERE IS EVERY CHANCE THAT, BEFORE THIS HAPPENS, THE CHURCH OF DARKNESS, through the emotional adherence of the West to evolution and materialism, WILL APPEAL AND APPEAR TO THE UNHOLY
MATERIALISTS AS THE CHURCH OF LIGHT (2 Cor. 11:14), will unite the world in a totally false unity (St. PIUS X), will assume the role of the false Jewish 'church', the Sanhedrin, at the time of Christ, and will once again cast the 'Body of Christ' out of their midst to be crucified in the most terrible persecutions yet to come. This, the full thrust of the prophecy of Pope St. PIUS X, will be the subject-matter of the final section, when we will deal with the role and purpose of this terrible 'church'. All this is mentioned here so that the utter seriousness of recognizing the markings will be realized. For, if at the time of its separation from the Catholic Church, the mighty 'church of darkness', through the universal apostasy of which Pope St. PIUS X spoke, would take with it the external organisation of the Catholic Church, the confusion and the bewilderment of the ELECT, and the jubilation of the UNHOLY, would be just as great as at the time of the Passion of Our Lord, when, at its final separation from Christ, the Jewish church was in total possession of organisation. It is worthy of note that ORGANISATION is NOT one of the four characteristics by which the Catholic Church can be recognised. Organisation must not be confused with hierarchy. The Catholic Church will always be hierarchical. But even if in full control of the external trappings and machinery of the Catholic Church at some future stage, the One-World church of Darkness would nevertheless be recognized first and foremost BY ITS FALSEHOODS:

1. The 'Another gospel' of the church of darkness will NOT be the TRUE Gospel of Our Saviour, but a hotch-potch of falsehoods. God only knows how much falsehood has already been swallowed as 'the true gospel of Our Saviour'. Falsehood can only be recognised if the true teachings of the Church are fully known and understood, and if the Supernatural Light of Catholic Faith is being preserved. In order to do that, it must be DAILY cherished and strengthened.

From what has already been discussed so far it is obvious that TEILHARD himself, the teihardians and all modernists teach another gospel which is being passed on as the true gospel of Our Saviour, as the true interpretations of Vatican II. Teilhard made no bones about it that he was dissatisfied with the Gospel as taught by the Church and that he wanted it changed. He advocated the reformulation of Catholic Dogma. He rejected at least one of them: the Dogma of Original Sin.
2. NO DOGMA. It is absolutely impossible to 'reformulate dogma' and to keep them the same. Reformulation as envisaged by an evolutionary system always ends up in ABOLITION. The Dutch Catechism takes NINE PAGES to explain away the BODILY Resurrection of Christ. How is it done? As we will see in discussing another MARK of this church, TEILHARD and his followers start with a false positioning, a caricature, of some Catholic Doctrine, which nobody in his sane mind holds. And then, in demolishing this caricature, they very cleverly slip in the demolition of a REAL Doctrine, and by a process of 'sublimation' and 'transformism', which are highfalutin names for 'murder or abortion of the Faith', they introduce their own absurd ideas. But this whole process of 'sublimation' is idle of course, since after their work of DEMOLITION there is simply nothing left to transform. It is only a deceitful way to introduce surreptitiously their own teachings. Leaving TEILHARD'S own practice of this transformism to a later stage, here is a classic example of what is meant.

In GEORGE DEVINE'S series 'PROCEEDINGS OF THE COLLEGE THEOLOGY SOCIETY', an annual publication of 'theological' papers from various American 'theology' faculties, there appears in the second volume entitled: 'NEW DIMENSIONS IN RELIGIOUS EXPERIENCE' (the 1970 proceedings) an article by one APRIL COURSLER ARMSTRONG (Mrs.) called: "CHRISTIAN MYSTICISM IN THE CLASSROOM?" This contribution starts off like this:

'Mysticism has often been considered embarrassing, threatening and irrelevant to the study of theology. Yet mysticism, from St. PAUL through TEILHARD has been an experience profoundly shaping theology.'

We thank April for the unmistakable fingerprint of the mastermind. The whole article then revolves around the 'profound insights' we get from comparing 'true' mysticism with chemical mysticism (through LSD, etc.). Why is this typical? Because, as TEILHARD teaches, the Catholic position is falsely and superficially portrayed and held up to ridicule. And them in the vein of:

'Well, nobody can of course agree with THAT; one or two foundation dogmas of the Catholic Church are surreptitiously slipped in in the demolition of this false portraying, and then somehow the lot (which is precisely NOTHING
after the demolition) is being transformed into the 'new' theology, the 'new' 'catholic insights', traced back to Vatican II. Let us listen how this is done. I hand you back to APRIL:—

"Whether or not student enthusiasm for 'mysticism' is a fad, it is clearly related to the drug orientation of the present time. The question is how."

So she is going to tell us:—

"I read the works of the famous and the unknown in this turned-on world, from Alan WATTS, to some of my more intelligent students, and find they seek, and in small measure discover, the experience which men of faith have found before them. The experience, sadly, had been kept under cover and unheard for too long!"

This statement is completely gratuitous and left completely unfounded. No proof is brought out that it is the same experience. And on this drifts and the demolition of catholic doctrine and the new teaching is now going to be based:—

"Current student enthusiasm may also be a healthy reaction against what might be called 'second-hand-theology'. Second-hand theology (she means Thomism here) is the kind which when translated into teaching or catechism, answers questions which have never arisen in the religious experience of the students, or even, perhaps, of that of the teacher!"

This is a deliberate FALSE positioning of the Catholic Church's teaching. But this destruction and ridicule of THOMISM is needed for the abolition of TRUE Catholic teaching which follows immediately:—

"Teaching on the Trinity FALLS INTO THIS CATEGORY most of the time. To some who have never EXPERIENCED the Holy Spirit in action, the question of whether THE SPIRIT IS GOD OR NOT IS MEANINGLESS...."
The strokes are deft, expert and painless. The supernatural zombies are now ready to accept that the Spirit is in the drugs. Experience is all that is necessary.... What took TEILHARD himself 16 pages in 1954 and the Dutch Catechism 9 pages in 1952, that takes April barely three lines in 1970. Just as natural abortion techniques have 'improved' over the years, so to have the techniques for the abortion of the Faith in catholic students, FORCE students (the COERCION, as we will see) to attend this sort of 'theology' classes and sure enough you will have them all experimenting with drugs 'on sound catholic teaching, on FIRST-hand theology'. Exactly as the organisers of the one-world church would like to see. So, teilhardism leads to NO DOGMA and to ANOTHER GOSPEL. It looks mighty like the 'religion of the church of darkness'....

3. NO HIERARCHY. Here we can be brief. Many, many responsible persons have pointed the finger to a world-wide phenomenon: that Bishops have transferred to Committees, Commissions, Confraternities the exclusive role of governing and teaching the faithful in their care. 'Experts' are taking over everywhere the role of being 'spokesmen' for the bishops. And episcopal conferences have de facto usurped what little individuality was left to individual bishops: there is now hiding and silence behind 'majority rule'. But episcopal authority is absolutely non-transferable. This whole development is the direct consequence of Teilhard's postulate of the pre-eminence of the 'PERSONAL COLLECTIVE', the Super-human to which we are all growing and which will de-personalize us individually (a demolition) in order to transform us, sublimate us into a much higher state: the personal collective. De-personalize the bishops, and then you have much better bishops in the personal collective of the anonymous episcopal conference, the anonymous 'commission', or committee or confraternity.... Or even the diocesan council. This teilhardian interpretation of the role of committees or pastoral councils and the like is obviously not the right way of implementing Vatican II or the teachings of Pope PAUL. Just as Vatican II never intended that teilhardian catechetics should ensue from Confraternities for Christian Doctrine, nor that teilhardian, modernistic theology should be taught at what nowadays goes under the name of 'Catholic colleges' where the candidates for the Priesthood are being treated on anything except Thomism. This is in direct violation of the expressed WILL OF THE CHURCH, and Pope JOHN XXIII reminded the bishops of this when he wrote, quoting St. PAUL, 2 Cor.13:8: 'THE POWERS WE HAVE ARE USED IN SUPPORT OF THE TRUTH, NOT AGAINST IT'.
4. NO DISCIPLINE FOR THE MIND. With these words Pope St. Pius X has given us the key to the whole business of massive subversion and of the 'great apostasy' we witness all around us. All the modern Popes: Pope Pius IX, Leo XIII, St. Pius X, Benedict XV, Pius XI, Pius XII have been emphatic about what constitutes the HEART OF THE MODERN TROUBLE. It can be summed up in the words of one of them, the words already quoted in this lecture taken from Pope St. Pius X: 'They lay the axe not to the branches and shoots, but to the very root, that is, to the FAITH ITSELF and its deepest fibres. And once having struck at this root of immortality, they proceed to diffuse poison through the whole tree, so that there is no part of Catholic Truth which they leave untouched, none that they do not strive to corrupt'. And we heard TEILHARD boast that that was HIS VERY INTENTION. There are two more supernatural, infused divine virtues apart from FAITH; the enemies of the Church are NOT primarily interested in them. There is the Blessed Sacrament, Our Lady, the Catholic Church, devotions, practices: all sorts of holy realities; THEY ALL COULD WAIT. For over 100 years they had only ONE THING in mind: THE DESTRUCTION OF CATHOLIC FAITH. Now, all these Holy Popes know Scripture, so do a lot of catholics and so do the enemies of the Church. And in Holy Scripture, in Rom. 8:38, we read:

'I am convinced that neither death nor life, no angel, no prince, nothing that exists, nothing still to come, not any power, or height, or depth, nor any created thing can ever come between us and the love of God made visible in Christ Jesus our Lord'.

Meaning that no Catholic can be robbed of his Catholic Faith, unless he himself surrenders it voluntarily. In disobedience to the authentic teaching authority of the Catholic Church, in exchange for another faith brought by another gospel. A Catholic can only LOSE his Faith. Why concentrate on CATHOLIC FAITH??? Because just as any one else, so the enemy of the human race can read what has been authoritatively taught in the Great Councils of the Church. And what do we read there?
the Church. And what do we read there?

The COUNCIL OF TRENT teaches us in its 5th Session:

"It is our CATHOLIC FAITH without which it is impossible to please God."

which is a direct quote from Hebr. 11:16. And the FIRST VATICAN COUNCIL reiterated the infallible teaching of TRENT on Catholic Faith, which was expressed in the 6th Session of TRENT:

"When the Apostle tells us that man is justified by FAITH and freely, then these words must be understood in the sense that the CATHOLIC Church has held and expressed this with a perpetual unanimity, namely that we are said to be justified by Faith because FAITH IS THE BEGINNING (INITIUM) OF THE SALVATION OF MAN, THE FOUNDATION (FUNDAMENTUM) AND THE ROOT (RADIX) OF ALL JUSTIFICATION, AND WITHOUT WHICH IT IS IMPOSSIBLE TO PLEASE GOD."

same quote from Hebrews 11:16. This teaching clearly shows that FAITH is the foundation, beginning and root even of LOVE. The enemies of the Church knew only too well that it was not sufficient to rob the Catholics of Sanctifying Grace (Supernatural Love) only, because LOVE is NOT the foundation of salvation and sanctification. Both Pope PIUS X and Pope PIUS XII have made definite statements about UNWARRANTED and FALSE INTRUSIONS OF LOVE on Faith.

So, if Catholics cannot be robbed of their Faith straight out, and if Faith is all-embracing and all-important, then a devilish, Satanic plan had to be put into operation. And THAT IS NOW THE HEART OF THE MODERN PROBLEM.

Let us listen to the ones appointed by God to teach us in these matters. In 'HUMANI GENERIS', Aug. 12, 1950, Pope PIUS XII had this to say:

"The same Divine Truth, they tell us, may be expressed on the human side in two different ways, even in two ways which in a sense CONTRADICT ONE ANOTHER, and still mean the same thing. And so, if REASON is to perform this office adequately and without fear of error, IT MUST BE TRAINED ON THE RIGHT PRINCIPLES; IT MUST BE STEEPED IN THAT SOUND PHILOSOPHY which we have long possessed as a heirloom handed down to us by former ages of Christendom. THESE PRINCIPLES ON WHICH IT IS BASED HAVE BEEN MADE BY THE TEACHING AUTHORITY OF THE CHURCH INTO THE TOUCH-STONE OF DIVINE REVELATION.

The mind of man, when it is engaged in a sincere search for truths, WILL NEVER LIGHT ON ONE WHICH CONTRADICTS THE TRUTHS IT HAS ALREADY ASCERTAINED. The christian will weigh the latest fantasy carefully,
making sure that he does not lose hold of the Truth already in his possession, OR CONTAMINATE IT IN ANY WAY, WITH GREAT DANGER AND PERHAPS GREAT LOSS TO THE FAITH ITSELF.

In view of all this it is not surprising that the Church will have her future Priests brought up on a philosophy which derives its method, ITS SYSTEM and its basic principles from the ANGELIC DOCTOR (St. Thomas Aquinas) (C.I.C. can. 1366, 2). One thing is clearly established by the long experience of the ages: HIS TEACHING SEEMS TO CHIME IN, BY A KIND OF PRE-ESTABLISHED HARMONY, WITH DIVINE REVELATION, NO SUPER WAY TO SAFEGUARD THE FIRST PRINCIPLES OF THE FAITH, Philosophical tendencies too must come under the Church's watchful care (Pope's and Bishops' care, NOT commissions, etc.) otherwise THE WHOLE OF CATHOLIC DOCTRINE MAY BE UNDERMINED BY FALSE ASSUMPTIONS!

According to the persistent teaching of all these Popes, the DESTRUCTION of THOMISM destroys THE DISCIPLINE OF THE MIND, destroys proper thinking, not only inside the Catholic Church, but ANYWHERE. And Evolution and Teilhardism HAVE ROBBED CATHOLICS AND NON-CATHOLICS ALIKE FROM THOMISM AND SO FROM DISCIPLINE OF THE MIND. And this in turn has destroyed the First Principles of the Faith, the proper ordering of the mind as a substratum for the infusion of the Supernatural Gift and Light of Faith on conversion, or to maintain the Faith after Baptism. If the Doctrine and System of St. Thomas have been finally revealed to us by the Church's highest teaching Authority AS CHIMING IN, BY A PRE-ESTABLISHED HARMONY WITH DIVINE REVELATION, then any departure from this system and doctrine, and especially any contradictory system, will CEASE to chime in with Revelation, and will reveal itself BY ITS STRIDENT DISCORDS.
What, then, is Thomism, and what did TEILHARD put in its place?

The essence of Thomism is to go to the essential truths of things by which they reveal and make known to us their essential natures, and from which truths our responses to them, our actions, would be guided. Most of this was already discovered by the Ancient Greek philosophers, and the laws of thinking and the principles of actions are UNIVERSALLY HUMAN. But the magnificent ORDER that THOMISM has brought into this whole human endeavour of thinking, volition, action is the pre-established harmony, by which it chimes in with the Supernatural Order in God. And so the searching, questioning mind after truth, the beautiful regulation of mind and will, and the order of virtues, the nature of passions, the proper laws of thinking all have their place in God's plan for the Supernatural edifice to be built on this natural harmony. In order that the Supernatural edifice cannot be built, or cannot be maintained after it has been erected, all the enemy had to do was to destroy THOMISM and replace it by ANYTHING AT ALL, because NO OTHER SYSTEM WILL CHIME IN WITH REVELATION. What has been put in its place? The answer will be found elsewhere. We have seen that outside the Catholic Church, who during its long history was guided by these fundamental aspects of Aristotelian and Thomistic philosophy, and through which this philosophy chimed in with Revelation: namely, the search for truth in establishing a union between the enquiring mind and the NATURE of things, that outside the Church, as I said, this system was largely abandoned in favour of EVOLUTION (Modernism). It now appears that millions of catholics (but NOT, however, the Catholic Church) have succumbed to this tremendous pressure, and that they too have given up this way of chiming in with Revelation and have opted for the 'easier' system of teilhardian evolution. And so we ask again: 'What did this evolution put in the place of the discarded fundamental nature of Thomism?'

And the answer is that the whole stress is now on 'the act' as the essential, central doctrine. But NOT the act as a response to a truth, as a response to the understanding of the nature of things (as Thomism requires) but the act wholly submerged in a system which has been sold to disobedient catholics AS FLOWING INEVITABLY INTO THE DIVINE; Teilhard's 'god-stream' of evolution, a stream which carries us inevitably towards 'god-omega'... 'JUST ACT' is now the message. 'Just act in a world which is so full of God that IT CANNOT FAIL BUT TO END IN GOD.' Herein lies the heart of evolution's fascination: it is being portrayed and wistfully imagined as a stream which carries us all. And teilhardian evolution has the 'advantage' of adding to this the MYSTIQUE of evolution being an armchair-ride to God.... And with a sigh of relief we sit back and let ourselves be carried to omegapoint. It is like being on a holiday cruise. On such a trip it has become slightly irrelevant what you do, as long as you DO SOMETHING ('act'). It has become totally irrelevant what you BELIEVE: Thomism in such a system is
simply absurd. So are the Cross, Sacrifices for the salvation of Souls, and the old idea of the Religious Life. ONLY A HARMONIOUS, PLEASANT ECUMENISM is important for everybody's well-being. And Vatican II is now RELENTLESSLY being portrayed as having set the Church on such a 'cruse', on an evolutionary course, with a pleasant ecumenism with all other 'churches' on board. But this is 'ANOTHER GOSPEL' which is now being proclaimed as the true Gospel of Jesus Christ. And such is the fundamental switch from hallowed Thomism, which required at all times TRUTH before action, and which made every action the (lasting) responsibility of the person in the Light of revealed, Absolute TRUTH: GOD. But once just action only has been placed at the foundation of everything, then not only FAITH has become superfluous, but only in a deterministic evolutionary system can two opposing, contradictory views be carried together to the same omega-point. And this is at the base and the root of the totally false ecumenism of our days. It does not matter any longer what Tom, Dick or Harry believe; we are all carried by evolution ('the Spirit') to God, we are made to believe.

The consequences of this bewitchment have been as devastating as they have been frightening. In such a 'system' sin and the Ten Commandments do no longer fit in and are no longer preached. When, through evolution, matter and the world are essentially good and holy, ALL actions are essentially good. There is no need to ask after the TRUTH; we'll get there just the same. We don't need a Sacrifice: we need a meal on our trip, a pleasant, ecumenical gathering. And we certainly don't need a Catholic Church and an infallible Pope to tell us: all we need is a 'church' which will tell us that we are on the right track and that THIS is now the 'new catholicism'.

And so TEILHARD and teilhardism have led us yet to another MARK OF THE CHURCH OF DARKNESS: NO DISCIPLINE FOR THE MIND....

In true Catholic Tradition the faithful still ask their pastors:

"What is the NATURE of modern catechetics? Please tell us the TRUTH about modern theology. What is the TRUE interpretation of 'HUMANAEE VITAE'? What is the NATURE of pentecostalism? What is the TRUTH about it, so we can direct our actions?"
Ecumenism

And aren't all the answers we ever get nowadays something like this:

"Look, act as human beings. Act as Christians. Your actions and the world have been sanctified by the Incarnation, so you just go and act in the modern world. Don't you see? The Holy Father RECEIVES pentecostalists in audience. That is good enough for you and me. I follow his actions and JOIN them in prayer. You follow my actions: go and do likewise. I will not tell you if pentecostalism is good or bad, true or not? I just go along and be photographed with them. You got to be ecumenical these days. Only people who doubt the Holy Spirit doubt the modern ecumenism. Don't ask for its nature, or if it is true.

'Is the pill forbidden?' you ask. I will not tell you. Just pray, and act as your conscience tells you. God will understand.

'Is the new catechism good or bad?' you ask me. I will not tell you. One does not ask those questions any longer. Trust my actions in allowing it; they are now my teachings..."

showing an abject capitulation to the requirements of teilhardian evolutionary philosophy, KILLING THOMISM.

5. NO CURB FOR THE PASSIONS. It will no longer, after the foregoing, come as a surprise that all the remaining marks of the Church of darkness are found in the teachings of Teilhard. We will run briefly through them.

Evolution itself and the teachings of Teilhard in particular leads to impurity, sexual licentiousness and immorality. It removes the curb for the passions. Here are some of the reasons:

(i) In a most authoritative encyclical on 'Secret Societies', His Holiness Pope Leo XIII condemned the Freemasons for their denial of the Dogma of Original Sin, ATTRIBUTING TO THIS DENIAL THE LOSS OF CONTROL OF REASON OVER PASSIONS. But since Teilhard teaches the same denial of this fundamental Dogma, he too falls under the direct condemnation by this Pope for loosening the control of 'catholic' reason over 'catholic' passions....

(ii) Teilhard's fundamental principle of CONTRADICTION has led to his equation 'creare est unire', to create is to unite, or what is the same to him: to unite is to create. IN THE ABSENCE OF THE SUPERNATURAL IN HIS SYSTEM, any 'uniting' is helping 'god' create, even the coming together in sin,
(iii) Since to Teilhard, not Original Sin, but redemption itself is being extended by propagation, to which he gives the grotesque name of 'the biological extension of the cosmic-christ in space-time', the living together in sin is taken as a form of love TO PROPAGATE REDEMPTION.... According to LOUIS EVELY, a teilhardian priest who threw a party to celebrate 'his updating to the lay-state' after his defection from the Priesthood, and who is read by thousands of religious, the culmination point OF RELIGIOUS LIFE will at last have been reached, when in the 1980's religious houses will have cubicles (flats) where males and females can come together to reach 'a summit of love for God' through the experience of carnal love as in marriage, which is much higher 'love' than the one experienced through virginal celibacy....

(iv) EVELY got these ideas from TEILHARD, who had clearly set out and developed them in a 1954 article entitled 'The Evolution of Chastity', in which it is categorically stated that man, in order to reach spiritual values MUST USE THE FEMININE. In true teilhardian style, the Church's position and teaching are falsely put in a ridiculous light, 'ripe for demolition'. But in the process of demolition, the TRUE TEACHING OF THE CHURCH IS SLIPPED IN; AND ALSO DEMOLISHED. After that chastity is being 'sublimed' to a sublimation of the sexual act 'to use the feminine in order to reach the height of spiritualization. Obviously in his evolution, which BY NECESSITY takes us all to God, there is absolutely no room and no need for continence, purity and chastity, fidelity and orthodoxy. All we need to do is to see sex as the sublime virtue by which the cosmic-christ is being extended biologically in space-time. And so, in this article on the 'evolution of chastity', there is no mention of the Ten Commandments, the 6th and the 9th, no mention of the difference between sex in marriage and sex outside marriage, not a word about Our Lord or Our Lady, the need to surround this important virtue by prayer, sacrifices and modesty: nothing but the blind obedience to the evolutionary principle that ONLY through the embrace of matter spiritualization can be obtained; again the exact opposite of the teaching of Jesus Christ. Because to Teilhard matter and spirit are the same, identical.

It is not difficult to imagine that these ideas especially would be lapped up by the writers of the modernistic catechetics courses. Time does not permit me to go into this here, but most readers will have been disgusted many times in the past by the sickening 'love', the 'sharing', the 'unity', and horizontal permissiveness which permeates this sort of 'catechetics'. The allurements of the 'healthy body', its potentialities for refined pleasure in 'love' and 'sharing' are constantly brought out; everywhere there is the complete absence of THE CURB FOR THE PASSIONS. One such hand-out, SHALOM, unit eleven, goes so far as to print on its cover: GOD IS LOVE - LOVE IS GOD..... This last bit is sheer blasphemy: many now equate love with sex, and so ANY SEX IS GOD... And that is precisely what TEILHARD, EVELY and all the builders of the 'church of darkness' would like us to BELIEVE so that we lose our Catholic Faith for 'another gospel'...
6. **THE PRETEXT OF FREEDOM AND HUMAN DIGNITY.** This topic too is so vast that it does not permit adequate treatment here. All I say is, that at present time one freedom has been extolled to the heights of heaven to such an extent that its proclaimers believe it even surpasses now the Catholic Church, and that is the freedom of conscience. Obviously anyone looking for a pretext, will latch onto this one, but to no avail, no matter how many bishops can be quoted in support of such an erroneous assumption.

The remainder of the characteristics spelled out by Pope St. PIUS X: the brute force and the coercion, the legalised cunning and the oppression of the weak and of all who toil and suffer are so intimately interwoven with the PURPOSE of the 'church of darkness', that they will be discussed there. But there is still one aspect left over, which I would like to give some thought to in this discussion on CHARACTERISTICS, since it will shed such abundant light on the role, the function and the purpose of this global agglomerate masquerading under the name 'church', and so can be used as an introduction to this final question of purpose. I am referring to the all-important question of INTERCOMMUNION: THE FALSE ECUMENISM.

After all the preliminaries, this is the final thrust, the ultimate objective, the touch of genius in the 'masterplan'. Ecumenism is now such an emotional word, so 'loaded with the Holy Ghost', and so easily linked with Vatican II, that the false ecumenism has absolutely no trouble weaving its web and its spell right around the world. It is therefore of the utmost importance to bring out the clear link between the utterly false ecumenism of our time and TEITZELD. It is here that the DECEIT is most active and most dangerous. Because this false ecumenism is linked with the Holy Spirit and
with Vatican II, few people will query it and even fewer will dare to go against it. But if this false ecumenism is not counteracted, TEILHARDISM, which is at the heart of it, will not be given up, and so the 'church of darkness', WHICH IS THE VISIBLE EXPRESSION OF THIS FALSE ECUMENISM, will become a reality. Teilhard de Chardin's aberrations will not be seriously resisted and exposed, since it would mean going against this false ecumenism which is sweeping the world. This false ecumenism is the iron rod, THE COERCION, by which Teilhardism will be maintained in theology and catechetics. Priests and bishops, more and more drawn from the ranks of the 'new breed', will more and more acquiesce with the 'decisions' and 'findings' of their numerous committees and pastoral councils and will increasingly turn the blind eye to the teilhardian aberrations and by now obvious excesses. Because they feel powerless and unconfident to call their radicals back from Teilhard-inspired ecumenism and intercommunion. And since the ecumenical 'theologians' have taken over as the official spokesmen for the Church (which, as we saw, will eventually lead to a church, which according to Pope St. PIUS X predictions will have no hierarchies), the bishops have become more silent and withdrawn in proportion to the others having become more vociferous and audacious.

This false ecumenism thrives on a Teilhard-inspired philosophy, theology and catechesis, and so these latter ones will be forcibly maintained in order to feed this ecumenism and to prevent it from being curbed. And so, as in the days of the Anglican persecutions, even bishops will finally agree that anyone who will not go along with this ecumenism, must be branded as queer, anticatholic, anti-Holy Spirit and ANTI THE POLITICAL AND RELIGIOUS PROMOTION OF WORLD UNITY. They will be branded as God-and-World enemies No. 1. Teilhard, as we saw, sees everything: god, man, religion, world, politics, individual, society, redemption, all in the same plane: EVOLUTION. Since the coming anti-christ will need this view IN ORDER TO DOMINATE BOTH THE TEMPORAL ORDER AND THE 'SPIRITUAL' in his one-world government and one-world 'church', this false ecumenical 'gospel' must be proclaimed at all cost, so that, as Pope PIUS XII predicted it would, the world WOULD BE UNITED, BUT THEN IN A COMMON RUIN. Under communism, it is a frightful spectacle to see the whole world being driven to this teilhardian ecumenism in the mistaken fear that opposing it would be going against the Holy Spirit. Let us very briefly examine the foundations of this so-called 'ecumenism' to show how utterly false it is and how much coercion the godless architects of this one-world 'church of darkness' are using seemingly to help the Holy Ghost achieve THEIR objectives, and how much CATHOLIC FAITH has been thrown overboard in order to 'accommodate' this ecumenism.
As far as this ecumenism is understood and accepted, it carries with it the ONE OBLIGATION: that Catholics are at least MUTE AND SILENT ON ONE REQUIREMENT OF THE CATHOLIC CHURCH AND CATHOLIC DOGMA: that the Catholic Church is THE SOLE TRUE CHURCH, THE ONLY CHURCH CONSTITUTED BY CHRIST, THE SOLE GUARDIAN OF THE DEPOSIT OF THE FAITH, WITH AN INFALLIBLE HEAD. They are not yet asked to DENY it: just to SUPPRESS IT. And through the relentless propaganda of the Teilhardian philosophy-theology-catechesis complex, this muteness and reluctance in the OPEN PROFESSION OF THIS FAITH has resulted in a DOUBT of this dogma pro foro interno: in private consciences of Catholics. And a serious doubt of this nature of a REVEALED TRUTH PROPOSED BY THE CATHOLIC CHURCH, is sufficient to EXTINGUISH the Supernatural Virtue of CATHOLIC FAITH, plunging the mind in darkness. And it is in darkness of mind only, with the feeble light of human thinking and science, that an ecumenism built on such a SUPPRESSION of Catholic Faith, can make sense for a one-world 'church' and a one-world 'government'.

Now an ecumenism which requires out of politeness and 'charity' for the other Christians' feelings, the public suppression of a Truth of the Catholic Church, WHICH SUPPRESSION IS ESSENTIAL FOR THE SPREAD OF THIS ECUMENISM, is obviously NOT AN ECUMENISM engendered by the Holy Ghost. Bishops and priests by the thousands nowadays only use the word 'christian' in any public utterances, suppressing the word 'catholic' and causing utter confusion. People have become CONVINCED that the Catholic Church no longer teaches what She taught before, and that this is due to Vatican II. Teilhardism is full to the brim of systematic attempts of putting the Church's teaching in a false position (maybe in an insignificant matter) IN ORDER TO DEMOLISH BELIEF IN AN ESSENTIAL MATTER. And so it may appear insignificant to substitute catholic with 'christian', but an exceedingly important result is aimed for. It may be a small request to Catholics to 'play down' for a while out of ecumenical considerations and out of courtesy for their fellow Christians, the UNIQUENESS OF THE CATHOLIC FAITH AND THE CATHOLIC CHURCH, and very soon, backed up by a well-organised ecumenical
drive, the uniqueness, LIKE THE DOGMA OF ORIGINAL SIN, will be abandoned and FAITH IN IT CHANGED....

Enough has been said so far to show that it is the absolute intention of TEILHARDISM to take over the Catholic Church, organisation and all, and to utterly destroy catholic Faith if it possibly could. And at the same time to force on the world an organisation, called a One-world church, which will replace the Catholic Church. For this plan to succeed, false ecumenism is essential, as long as it can be made to appear as if it comes from the Holy Spirit. Both the destruction of Catholic Doctrine and Catholic Faith are in an advanced stage, and so also appears to be the ecumenism necessary for the acceptance of this new faith and the new doctrine. But where is the ecumenism then, that comes from Vatican II and the Holy Ghost? Like ALL TRUE THINGS coming from Vatican II these days: YOU GOT TO LOOK FOR THEM. They are invisible, buried under the effluent of teilandism, BUT CLOSE TO OUR LADY, THE MOTHER OF THE CHURCH. It is in Her and with Her that true ecumenism will be conceived and become a reality. BECAUSE TRUE ECUMENISM CAN ONLY BE BUILT ON TRUTH, NOT ON THE SUPPRESSION OF IT. According to Pope Pius XI, it cannot even be built on the invocation of 'charity'. The true ecumenism, gift of the Holy Ghost, is still very much in the future. God shows Himself mostly when He does what ONLY GOD CAN DO: draw good from evil. God will draw good and true ecumenism from this false replica, when this one has done its job and run its course. But Our Lady is nowadays just as far removed from 'ecumenism' as anything else catholic is kept away from it. She too 'is played down' in order that ecumenism may succeed. And so this sort of ecumenism is NOT the one the Church is hoping for. It is only proclaimed to come from Vatican II by the same powers of darkness which proclaim that TEILHARDISM comes from Vatican II.
And with this we have finished a good description of the 'church of
darkness', and introduced the reader to a very good picture of its purpose.
Let us finally dwell briefly on a few more aspects of the ROLE AND PURPOSE
OF THE CHURCH OF DARKNESS.

"A One-World church, which would bring back to the world the reign of
legalised cunning and brute force, and the oppression of the weak,
and of all those who toil and suffer". (Pope St. PIUS X).

"Then I saw another Beast come up out of the earth. It had two horns
like the Lamb, but it spoke like the Dragon. It used the authority of
the first Beast TO PROMOTE ITS INTERESTS by making the world and all
its inhabitants worship the first Beast". (St. JOHN, Book of Revela-
tions).

It has been the fundamental thesis of this lecture that, when the whole
world runs after a new interpretation of christianity, it is not accepting
any longer christianity, but the Devil's creation. In writing about these
things I have been at pains to show that, in the description of this new
christianity, I have been guided by the immortal words of Pope St. PIUS X,
with which he predicted that, when the whole world would adopt 'ANOTHER GOS-
PHEL', it would let itself be hearded into 'another church': the one-world
church of darkness. In order that this can be achieved it is necessary that
mankind adopts a wrong philosophy and a wrong theology, a wrong catechesis
and a wrong faith. So far I have traced the origin of such a fatal doctrinal
system; I have analysed its contents, showing where it would deviate from
true Catholic teaching, discussed its world-wide acceptance, and finally
isolated its marks by which it can be recognised.

St. JOHN, in his book of Revelations, describes under Divine Inspiria-
tion, how at one stage the whole world 'will run after the Beast'. How this
Beast (Anti-christ) will be revealed and introduced to mankind 'by another
beast, which will look like the Lamb, but speak like the Dragon'. Nobody
on earth looks more like the Lamb than a Pope, no Pope speaks more like the
Dragon than an Anti-pope. In order that the whole world will 'run after the
Beast', it will be equally necessary that mankind again adopts the wrong
philosophy-theology-catechesis-faith system. The time has now come to inves-
tigate if, what Pope St. PIUS X told us would happen, and what St. JOHN
told us would happen, refer to the same phenomenon. For St. JOHN clearly
predicts an anti-pope and St. PIUS X clearly predicts an anti-church. Is
the anti-pope predicted by St. JOHN going to be the head of the anti-church
predicted by St. PIUS? St. JOHN's anti-pope will introduce anti-christ to
the world, and so must himself be some world figure. St. PIUS X predicts
that the church of darkness will be a one-WORLD CHURCH, and so its head must
be some world figure. St. JOHN predicts that the anti-pope will do everything
possible for the first Beast to enslave the world and bring it under its
dominion and tyranny. St. PIUS X predicts that the one-world church, under
the pretext of freedom and human dignity (false freedom of conscience and
ecumenism) will bring back to the world the reign of legalised cunning and
brute force and the oppression of the weak and of all those who toil and suf-
fer. SINCE THESE ARE IDENTICAL AIDS AND ACTIONS, and if this is no coincidence,
then it is clearly the purpose of the one-World church of darkness to be the
'church' needed by the other Beast' in order that he can be as St. JOHN
predicted he WOULD BE an anti-pope, so that the anti-church can do under
its anti-pope what both St. PIUS X and St. JOHN predicted they would do.
If the Western World needed evolution in order to hang on to its
wealth and enjoy it without a thought for God and conscience, then this
evolution must somehow take on the mystique of a religion, A RELIGION ALLO-
WING THE EMBRACE OF THE WORLD. But that is precisely what Teilhard de Chard-
din and his innumerable 'theologians' and 'catechists' and other disciples
have done; they provided the illusion that this evolutionary system DOES
EXIST, runs inevitably into God-omega, has us all in its mighty sweep,
AND IS THE NEW CATHOLICISM AND THE TRUE INTERPRETATION OF VATICAN II.

An added pointer towards the convergence of the anti-church described
by St. PIUS X, and the anti-pope predicted by St. JOHN, is that the world-
wide acceptance of this teiltardian perversion of doctrine has become 'THE
GREAT APOSTASY' of which St. PAUL spoke as a pre-existing condition for the
appearance of 'the son of perdition' in the second letter to the Thessalonians.
And the great insult done to God, as predicted, is now that this perversion
of Catholic Faith and Catholic teaching, is being accepted as coming from
God and pleasing to God; as if it is being taught by the Catholic Church in
the name of God....

God has thwarted the careful plans and attempts to keep the source and
origin of this perversion secret, and that, instead, the definite link be-
tween TEILHARD and FREEMASONARY came to light. This means that it can no
longer come as a surprise that the perversion of catholics WAS BY DESIGN, to
facilitate the introduction of a global politico-economic system under commu-
nism, and to facilitate the identification, segregation and extermination of
the Catholics, who refused to accept teiltardianism as catholic faith and refus-
ed to bow down before the subsequent tyranny of evil. And the ultimate in
degradation will take place (the ABOMINATION IN THE HOLY PLACE, of which
Daniel speaks) when anti-christ will use an anti-pope, and what appears to
be the 'catholic church' under him, to persecute the True Catholic Church,
and to commit all the abominations as mentioned by Pope St. PIUS X. But what
will be really under the anti-pope will be the Teilhard de Chardin 'church
of darkness' AND NOT THE CATHOLIC CHURCH. The Catholic Church will be safely
under the protection of Our Lady.
And so, at the end of all these atrocities, we come to rest before Her, whom sinner and saint, in agony and extasy, has graced with the name: OUR HOLY MOTHER THE CHURCH, the Catholic Church. Like Our Lady, the Church too grew in years, but never wrinkled. She is still the Spotless Bride of the Lamb of God, the Holy One, the Faithful One. Over the centuries She has done what Her Divine Head and Founder and Groom wanted Her to do: to bear Him children, many children, to fill His Father's House from the ends of the earth. She instructed them in purity of doctrine, in pauverty of Spirit, in obedience to God's commands. And the Bridegroom loved Her. He adorned Her with the most precious jewel; He entrusted to Her care His priceless possessions: His Body, His Blood, His Graces, His Heart, His Truth, His infinite mercy, the Mysteries of His divine Knowledge, the honour of His Holy Mother.... He cared for Her as never a Groom cared for his bride. And in return the Church loved the Lamb of God with an infinity of love. For Him She loved the sinner as much as the saint. She taught the ignorant as well as the learned, She strengthened the martyr to stand firm till the end; She consoled the bereaved, prepared the dying and cared for the poor and the sick. She was all to all.

But in the caring for the children of the Lamb of God, there grew in Her heart but one desire, a desire so secret, that it never left the place where it was born for fear that it would be revealed. But against Her will the desire grew, and finally the Bridegroom read it in Her eyes, the unspoken secret between them. She loved the Lamb of God, She had studied Him in His life, She understood His every mood. She had seen His Birth, and imitated His pauverty; She had seen His hidden life, and imitated His obedience and love for His Parents. She had followed Him in His travels whilst preaching the
Kingdom of God, and Her own missionaries were heard in all the four corners of the earth. The union between the Bride and Her Groom had grown strong, and in the chaste intimacy between the Son of God and His Catholic Church, the secret could no longer be kept. Had not St. Paul compared the union of the Christian Marriage to the union between Christ and His Church? And the Creator of Christian Marriage understood.... Faithfully, His Bride had taught every young bride who came before Her altar to be joined in holy wedlock, to have her man and to hold, to hold on to him for better or worse, to follow him where ever he may go. To suffer with him whatever would be his fate. And the Church watched them go. And the desire in Her own heart grew stronger....

Would She alone, in all eternity, be a Bride, espoused to a Man who for love of Her let Himself be crucified, without having shared the experience with Him? Would She alone not be allowed to show Her love 'IN FINE', till the end? Would She be the only true Bride not knowing what it is 'exinanivit Semetipsum': He emptied Himself? She had seen Him in His agony, She had watched Him being scourged, crowned with thorns, mocked, spat upon, rejected. She had meditated on His last journey on earth, carrying His cross all the way to Calvary, seen His final, all-embracing Sacrifice, and how His Holy Mother shared every suffering with Him.... And the love for Him in Her heart became infinite. If only somehow, somehow, She could do the same, share with Him, show Her love for Her children, THEIR children, to the same extent, 'in fine'.... For every martyr She had sustained, his hour had finally arrived. Would HER HOUR ever come? To suffer the indignity of seeing Barabbas preferred to Her? And then to finally be allowed to follow in the bleeding footsteps of the Man She loved, all the way unto Her own annihilation? To give Her life for Him? She had imitated His every example: would oh would there be given Her, before the end, one chance, Her chance, to imitate Him TILL THE END....

And the Father of all understood. And in His infinite Wisdom and Love He found a way.
The Jewish leaders once said: "We don't like this Christ and His message. LET US CHANGE HIM and His teaching to our own image and likeness". The Jewish leaders did not like the Messiah as sent by God and promised by the Prophets. He had to be 'improved'. He had to be 'renewed'. As He was, He had to be done away with. And when they were finished remodelling Him after their own image and likeness, THEY HAD TO BURY HIM.... On the Cross He had become their image. He finally looked like them as they were known to God: disfigured and killed by SIN. But the miracle had been wrought: through His frightful passion and death, sustained by an Infinite Love, THEY THEMSELVES, not Him, were now RENEVED, redeemed. In the heavenly beauty of Supernatural Life, they could look again as the adopted children of God, in true likeness of Jesus, the Son of God. And out of His pierced Heart, washed in His water and Blood, the new creation was born: His Church, His very own Bride.

From this it can be seen that there are TWO TYPES of renewal: one inspired by the Devil, 'improving' on the image and work of God and so RUINING IT: 'If you eat from this fruit, your eyes will be opened and you will be like gods....'; the other wrought by God through the prayer, sufferings and death of His Son. It is of the utmost importance nowadays to know the difference. For,

'Modern man too has raised his voice to God and said: 'We don't like the Catholic Church as handed down to us through the ages from the Apostles. We want Her changed. We want Her renewed after our own image and likeness' And God saw the pitiful state to which 'modern man' had reduced himself; an irrational animal let loose in nature, groping his way through trial and error because he doesn't know enough history, enough religion, enough prayer (LAMMERTS). And remembering the dearest wish of His Son's Bride on earth, and remembering the true renewal: redemption, that had been brought about by the death of His Son on the Cross, He relented....

'And their cries gained the upper hand....'

The hour for the Church had finally come. Which then reveals the most intimate purpose of the 'church of darkness': TO BE THE HARLOT, preferred by 'modern man' in preference to the Spotless Bride of the Lamb of God, Our Holy Mother the Church. And the fury of Hell against the Catholic Church will be such, that DANIEL could predict in the Spirit: 'that the Perpetual Sacrifice WILL BE ABOLISHED for three and a half years', the exact time-span allotted by St. JOHN in the Book of Revelations to the reign of the Beast.
But the Catholic Church will not die: not all the Catholics will be annihilated. But their hidden existence will eclipse the Church for a while, like the stone before the Holy Sepulchre hid for a while that other Sacred Body of Our Lord.

And the silence of the Church must now be compared with the silence of Christ in His Sacred Passion. Although Christ spoke up when His doctrine was attacked (which is more than most bishops do nowadays, although His Vicar on earth has followed the example of Christ in this respect,) it is nevertheless true that the Body of the Faithful has become aware that it has become impossible to rectify the myriads of aberrations caused by the avalanche of modernism which has engulfed the Church. THE CONSOLING MESSAGE OF PRAYER, Penance, Rosary and Daily Duty is more and more understood by the elect. The Good Friday of the Church will be terrible, but the One Who sustained Her Son on His day of the Crucifixion, has again been appointed officially to sustain the Church on Her's.

And so, for the Bride of the Lamb of God, the same hour has at last come. Now His Bride wishes to go through the same experience, through the same Good Friday, for the sake of "modern man", that pathetic end-product of a falsed evolution. Is that a crime? Is that so confusing? Does that make Her weak? So that we should be ashamed of Her, go our own way, call ourselves 'christsians' and no longer catholics? Does that give us the right to push Her aside and follow our own beliefs because the Church no longer seems to know what She is doing? The time and the hour has come, that the world will select the harlot: the teilhardian church of darkness, in preference to the True Bride of the Lamb, Our Holy Mother the Church. So that the Church can suffer what Christ went through when that same humanity cast Him aside in preference for a robber and murderer. And then, at last, the Church Herself will be able to show Her love for God and sinful modern man IN FINE: till the very end. And go the same painful way to Her own Calvary. But just like Her Groom: the Catholic Church is still beautiful and infinitely powerful. She will rise again and conquer the earth, because She does not rest on human counsels nor on the councils of this world. There are many silent bishops, timid bishops, confused bishops. They are wounding the Church, oh yes, the Church FEELS it, like Christ did. Christ SUFFERED, so does His Church. It will be AGONY for the whole Church. For the vast Teilhard de Chardin church of darkness, in its thirst for power over the Catholic Church, is, in its blindness, actively engaged to hasten the global triumph of antichrist. But when this harlot-church will be handed over to the Beast, to make it appear as if finally antichrist and satan are ruling over the Catholic Church, when they dominate this vast teilhardian church and its antipope, then the MACHINERY of the Catholic Church may appear to be there, but the Church itself will be safely under the protection of Her, appointed by God at this hour TO BE THE MOTHER OF THE CHURCH.
And when the Church knows what it is to be the Bride of the Lamb of God, then the same conquering powers will make Her irresistible to the powers and the forces of Darkness. At the moment of Her greatest desertion and annihilation, SHE WILL PROVE TO BE THE TRUE DAUGHTER OF GOD THE FATHER; indestructable. And if we will be proud of Her then, we must be proud of Her now. If we will be proud to be called Her CATHOLIC children then, and flock to Her in love, we should stay with Her now, in Her moment of greatest desertion, when She needs all of us most.....

"To the rest of you I say: do what the Elders tell you, and wrap yourselves in humility to be servants of each other, because God refuses the proud and will always favour the humble. Bow down then before the power of God now and He will raise you up on the appointed day. Unload all your worries on Him since He is looking after you. BE CALM BUT VIGILANT, because your enemy the devil is prowling around like a roaring lion looking for someone to eat. STAND UP TO HIM, STRONG IN FAITH AND IN THE KNOWLEDGE THAT YOUR BROTHERS ALL OVER THE WORLD ARE SUFFERING THE SAME THINGS. You will have to suffer only for a little while; the God of all grace Who called you to eternal glory in Christ will see that all is well again. He will confirm, strengthen and support you. His power lasts for ever and ever. Amen."

1 Peter, 5:5-11.
The foregoing were some of the highlights of a book by the same title and author, which is in the process of being prepared for publication.
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